IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§
Plaintiff,	8 Consolidated Civil Action No. 6:12- 8 CV-499-LED
v.	Š
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC.,	§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	\$ \$

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT CIVOLUTION USA'S FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff Blue Spike, LLC files this Reply to the First Amended Counterclaims of Defendant Civolution USA, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Civolution USA") (Dkt. No. 1464) as follows. All allegations not expressly admitted or responded to by Blue Spike, LLC are denied.

COUNTERCLAIMS

- 1. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 1.
- 2. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 2.
- 3. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 3.
- 4. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 4.
- 5. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 5.
- 6. Blue Spike, LLC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 6 and therefore denies them.
- 7. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 7.
- 8. Blue Spike, LLC admits the allegations of Paragraph 8.
- 9. Blue Spike, LLC denies that it has licensed the patents-in-suit to RPX Corporation, and that Defendant's sales are either authorized or subject to the doctrine of patent exhaustion. Blue

Spike, LLC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 9 and therefore denies them.

COUNT ONE(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '175 Patent)

- 10. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-10.
- 11. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.
- 12. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 12.

COUNT TWO(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '175 Patent)

- 13. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-12.
- 14. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 14.
- 15. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 15.

COUNT THREE(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '494 Patent)

- 16. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-15.
- 17. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 17.
- 18. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 18.

COUNT FOUR(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '494 Patent)

- 19. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-18.
- 20. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 20.

21. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 21.

COUNT FIVE(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '700 Patent)

- 22. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-21.
- 23. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 23.
- 24. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 24.

COUNT SIX (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '700 Patent)

- 25. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-24.
- 26. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 26.
- 27. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 27.

COUNT SEVEN(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '472 Patent)

- 28. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-27.
- 29. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 29.
- 30. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 30.

COUNT EIGHT(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '472 Patent)

- 31. Blue Spike, LLC repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-30.
- 32. Blue Spike, LLC denies the allegations of Paragraph 32.
- 33. Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief it seeks in Paragraph 33.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Blue Spike, LLC denies that Defendant is entitled to any of the relief it requests.

PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In addition to the relief requested in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Blue Spike, LLC respectfully requests a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) That Defendant take nothing by its Counterclaims;
- (b) That the Court award Blue Spike, LLC its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and
- (c) Any and all further relief for the Blue Spike, LLC as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser Randall T. Garteiser Texas Bar No. 24038912 rgarteiser@ghiplaw.com Christopher A. Honea Texas Bar No. 24059967 chonea@ghiplaw.com Christopher S. Johns Texas Bar No. 24044849 cjohns@ghiplaw.com Kirk J. Anderson California Bar No. 289043 Peter S. Brasher California Bar No. 283992 GARTEISER HONEA, P.C. 218 N. College Ave. Tyler, Texas 75702 Telephone: (903) 705-7420 Facsimile: (888) 908-4400

Counsel for Blue Spike LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Randall T. Garteiser, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this day. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5, this document was served via U.S. Mail and electronic means to counsel for Defendant that are not receiving this document via CM/ECF.

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser Randall T. Garteiser